

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. 10/616,441 07/09/2003 8342-89538 9148 Kevin L. Parsons EXAMINER 7590 04/19/2004 Welsh & Katz, Ltd. WARD, JOHN A Eric D. Cohen ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 22nd Floor 120 South Riverside Plaza 2875 Chicago, IL 60606

DATE MAILED: 04/19/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				N
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
_		10/616,441	PARSONS, KEVIN L.	
	Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit	
		John A. Ward	2875	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicati	on appears on the cover sheet w	ith th correspondenc address	
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NG - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day to period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	FION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a tion. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thiy period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO by statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n <u>09 July 2003</u> .		
2a)□	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	This action is non-final.		
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>48-76</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>48-76</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Applicat	ion Papers			
9)	The specification is objected to by the Ex	caminer.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 July 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection			
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to by			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
2) Noti 3) Info	n t(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-5 rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTC er No(s)/Mail Date <u>0903</u> .	948) Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	

Art Unit: 2875

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 48-52, 59 and 60 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Dalton et al (US 6,070,990).

Regarding claim 48, Dalton et al ('990) discloses a flashlight comprising of a light emitting diode 36 having a first and second leads 38, 40, a power source 30 having a first and second side, a body 21 made of translucent material (column 2, lines 14-20) to receive the battery (figure 3) and column 3, lines 1-9 teaches that a switch is operable to closes a circuit including a light source and power supply.

Regarding claims 49, 50, 51 and 60, Dalton et al discloses that the body 21, at least one sides covers 12, is made of translucent material, and at least one cover is made of a polycarbonate (column 2, lines 12-20).

Regarding claim 52 Dalton discloses a decorative element 20 is placed beneath on translucent side cover (figure 2).

Regarding claim 59, Dalton et al in figure 3 shows how the frames and side covers are integrally formed together.

Application/Control Number: 10/616,441 Page 3

Art Unit: 2875

Claim 62 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Dalton et al (US 6,070,990).

Regarding claim 62, Dalton et al ('990) discloses a flashlight comprising of a light emitting diode 36 having a first and second leads 38, 40, a power source 30 having a first and second side, a body 21 made of translucent material (column 2, lines 14-20) to receive the battery (figure 3) and column 3, lines 1-9 teaches that a switch is operable to closes a circuit including a light source and power supply.

Claims 65, 66 and 70 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Dalton et al (US 6,070,990).

Regarding claim 65, Dalton et al ('990) discloses a flashlight comprising of a light emitting diode 36 having a first and second leads 38, 40, a power source 30 having a first and second side, a body 21 made of translucent material (column 2, lines 14-20) to receive the battery (figure 3) and column 3, lines 1-9 teaches that a switch is operable to closes a circuit including a light source and power supply.

Regarding claim 66 Dalton et al discloses a decorative element 20 is placed beneath on translucent side cover (figure 2).

Regarding claim 70, Dalton et al in figure 3 shows how the frames and side covers are integrally formed together.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Art Unit: 2875

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 53-58, 60, 61, 63 and 64 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dalton et al as applied to claims 49 and 52 above, and further in view of Vandenbelt et al (US 5,457,613) and in view of Holat (US 6,036,810).

Regarding claims 53-58, 60, 61, 63 and 64 Dalton et al discloses all the limitations of the claimed invention as cited above including a light source, body and power source, but does not discloses the a decorative foil placed beneath at least one translucent side cover.

Regarding claims 53-55, 61 and 63, Vandenbelt et al ('613) discloses a card light flashlight comprising of a light source 26, a power source 22, and a cover 54 that houses a casing 14 that houses the light source and power source and column 4, lines 2-7, teaches that an imprinted media may be apply to the casing.

Regarding claims 53 and 55-57 does not disclose a holographic foil sheet.

Regarding claims 53 and 55-57, Holat ('810) discloses holographic foil sheet 10 having a decorative image 12.

Regarding claims 58, 60 and 64, Vandenbelt in view of Holat does not disclose that the covers are dent resistant and made of a polycarbonate.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the casing of a polycarbonate material being dent

Art Unit: 2875

resistant, since it has been held to be with in the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability of the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. *In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416*.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the LED flashlight of Dalton et al with the decorative flashlight of Vandenbelt et al along with the holographic stamp of Holat in order to provide a flashlight that is small and decorative as taught by Vandenbelt (column 2, lines 10-15).

Claims 67-69, and 71 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dalton et al as applied to claims 65 above, and further in view of Vandenbelt et al (US 5,457,613) and in view of Holat (US 6,036,810).

Regarding claims 67-69 and 71 Dalton et al discloses all the limitations of the claimed invention as cited above including a light source, body and power source, but does not discloses the a decorative foil placed beneath at least one translucent side cover.

Regarding claim 71, Vandenbelt et al ('613) discloses a card light flashlight comprising of a light source 26, a power source 22, and a cover 54 that houses a casing 14 that houses the light source and power source and column 4, lines 2-7, teaches that an imprinted media may be apply to the casing.

Regarding claims 67-68 does not disclose a holographic foil sheet.

Art Unit: 2875

Regarding claims 67-68, Holat ('810) discloses holographic foil sheet 10 having a decorative image 12.

Regarding claim 69, Vandenbelt in view of Holat does not disclose that the covers are dent resistant and made of a polycarbonate.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the casing of a polycarbonate material being dent resistant, since it has been held to be with in the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability of the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. *In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416*.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the LED flashlight of Dalton et al with the decorative flashlight of Vandenbelt et al along with the holographic stamp of Holat in order to provide a flashlight that is small and decorative as taught by Vandenbelt (column 2, lines 10-15).

Claims 72-76 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dalton et al in view of Vandenbelt et al (US 5,457,613) and in view of Holat (US 6,036,810).

Regarding claim 72, Dalton et al ('990) discloses a flashlight comprising of a light emitting diode 36 having a first and second leads 38, 40, a power source 30 having a first and second side, a body 21 made of translucent material (column 2, lines 14-20) to

Art Unit: 2875

receive the battery (figure 3) and column 3, lines 1-9 teaches that a switch is operable to closes a circuit including a light source and power supply.

Regarding claims 72-76 Dalton et al discloses all the limitations of the claimed invention as cited above including a light source, body and power source, but does not discloses the a decorative foil placed beneath at least one translucent side cover.

Regarding claims 72-73 and 75, Vandenbelt et al ('613) discloses a card light flashlight comprising of a light source 26, a power source 22, and a cover 54 that houses a casing 14 that houses the light source and power source and column 4, lines 2-7, teaches that an imprinted media may be apply to the casing.

Regarding claim 73 does not disclose a holographic foil sheet.

Regarding claim 73, Holat ('810) discloses holographic foil sheet 10 having a decorative image 12.

Regarding claims 74 and 76, Vandenbelt in view of Holat does not disclose that the covers are dent resistant and made of a polycarbonate.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the casing of a polycarbonate material being dent resistant, since it has been held to be with in the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability of the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. *In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416*.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the LED flashlight of Dalton et al with the decorative flashlight of Vandenbelt et al along with the holographic stamp of Holat in

Art Unit: 2875

order to provide a flashlight that is small and decorative as taught by Vandenbelt (column 2, lines 10-15).

Page 8

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John A. Ward whose telephone number is 571-272-2386. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sandra O'Shea can be reached on 571-272-2378. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JAW April 13, 2004

Jonn A. vvard

Patent Examiner AU 2875